EXHIBIT 1

	·	
1 2	MELINDA HAAG (CABN 132612) United States Attorney	
3	DAVID R. CALLAWAY (CABN 121782) Chief, Criminal Division	
4 5	WILLIAM FRENTZEN (LABN 24421) SUSAN E. BADGER (CABN 124365) S.WAQAR HASIB (CABN 234818) Assistant United States Attorneys	
6	·	
7	450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055 San Francisco, California 94102-3495 Telephone: (415) 436-7200 FAX: (415) 436-7234 William.Frentzen@usdoj.gov Susan.Badger@usdoj.gov	
8		
9		
10	Waqar. Hasib@usdoj.gov	
11	Attorneys for United States of America	
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
13	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
14	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
15	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	No. CR 14-0196 CRB
16	Plaintiff,	DECLARATION OF ETHAN A. QUINN IN
17	v.	SUPPORT OF UNITED STATES' RESPONSE TO COURT ORDER
18	KWOK CHEUNG CHOW, et al.,	
19	Defendants.	
20)	
21)	
22		
23		
24	I, Ethan A. Quinn, Special Agent with the Federal Bureau of Investigation, declare as follows:	
25	1. I am a Special Agent with the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) and have been so	
26	employed since mid-2009. Currently, I am assigned to the San Francisco Field Division	
27	Civil Rights / Public Corruption Squad, which investigates abuse of public office in violation	
28	of criminal law, to include fraud, bribery, extortion, conflicts of interest, and embezzlement.	
	DECLARATION OF ETHAN A. QUINN CR 14-0196 CRB	

11

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27 28 DATE: August 6, 2015

I am one of the case agents assigned to the investigation of Leland Yee, Keith Jackson, and others.

- 2. On August 4, 2015, at approximately 1:30 p.m., I viewed a news article on the website of the San Francisco Examiner, at the following hyperlink: http://www.sfexaminer.com/mayorcity-officials-others-accused-of-wrongdoing-according-to-new-details-from-fbi-probe-intoshrimp-boy/. According to the byline of the article, the article was posted to the website at 12:17 p.m. and summarized a motion filed by attorneys representing defendant Raymond Chow.
- 3. The original version of the article I read contained a link to a pdf document, which I clicked on and viewed. The document appeared to be a draft version of a motion to dismiss for selective prosecution. It looked like a draft because it contained notes and highlighted placeholders for exhibit numbers. This document was found at the following hyperlink: http://www.sfexaminer.com/wp-content/uploads/Motion-to-dismiss-for-selectiveprosecution.wpd.pdf. The .wpd extension at the end of the document indicated the pdf was converted from a WordPerfect word processing document.
- 4. I visited the SF Examiner website later the same day and found that the Examiner had updated its article to include a link to a final copy of the motion, which now included completed references to exhibits. There were some changes to the content of the footnotes as well.
- 5. At approximately 3:24 p.m. on the same day, August 4, 2015, I returned to the hyperlink to the apparent draft document, and found it was still active. I downloaded a copy of the pdf for preservation. I also e-mailed the link to Assistant United States Attorneys William Frentzen, Susan Badger, and Waqar Hasib.
- 6. I checked the Examiner website again today, August 6, 2015. As of 10:17 a.m., the hyperlink to the draft document was still active.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the above is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

ETHAN A. QUINN